

Patrick H. Hicks, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 4632  
Karyn M. Taylor, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 6142  
Luke W. Molleck, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 14405  
LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.  
200 South Virginia Street, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Reno, Nevada 89501  
Telephone: 775.348.4888  
Fax No.: 775.786.0127  
phicks@littler.com  
kmtaylor@littler.com  
lmolleck@littler.com

Attorney for Defendant NV ENERGY, INC. d/b/a  
SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

PRIYA DEY-SAKAR,

Plaintiff,

v.

DANIEL ADESINA, in his individual capacity;

STATE OF NEVADA, ex rel. PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION OF NEVADA, a  
governmental entity; and

NV ENERGY, INC. d/b/a SIERRA PACIFIC  
POWER COMPANY, a Nevada corporation,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:23-cv-00517-MMD-CLB

**ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION  
TO STAY ACTION PENDING  
MEDIATION**

The Parties in this case, Plaintiff PRIYA DEY-SARKAR (“Plaintiff”) and NV ENERGY, INC. d/b/a SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY (“NV Energy”) (collectively the “Parties”), by and through their respective counsel, hereby request and stipulate to stay the action as it relates to Plaintiff’s claims asserted against NV Energy, pending private mediation.

The purpose of this request is to promote judicial economy and allow this court to control the disposition of its cases with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel and the litigants. *See*

1 *Landis v. N. Am. Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936) (“[T]he power to stay proceedings is incidental to the  
 2 power inherent in every court to control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of  
 3 time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for litigants.”); *Pate v. DePay Orthopedics, Inc.*, 2012 WL  
 4 3532780, at \* 2 (D. Nev. Aug. 14, 2012) (“A trial court may, with propriety, find it is efficient for its  
 5 own docket and the fairest course for the parties to enter a stay of an action before it, pending resolution  
 6 of independent proceedings which bear upon the case.”), *citing Leyva v. Certified Grocers of Cal.,*  
 7 *Ltd.*, 593 F.2d 857, 863 (9th Cir. 1979).

8 The Parties have scheduled mediation for April 16, 2025, before Hon. Carl W. Hoffman (Ret.)  
 9 and will endeavor to resolve all claims in this action. Pending the outcome of the Parties’ mediation,  
 10 the Parties will provide a Status Report to the Court no later than fifteen (15) calendar days following  
 11 the mediation setting forth the following:

12 1) Should the Parties reach a settlement, the Parties will update the Court as to the tentative  
 13 resolution; or

14 2) Should the Parties be unsuccessful at resolving all claims, the Parties shall set forth an  
 15 updated proposed discovery plan and scheduling order. This Stipulation is made in good faith and not  
 16 for the purposes of undue burden or delay.

17 **IT IS SO STIPULATED:**

18  
 19 DATED: February 27, 2025

DATED: February 27, 2025

20  
 21 /s/ Karyn M. Taylor

/s/ John Neil Stephenson

22 PATRICK H. HICKS, ESQ.  
 23 KARYN M. TAYLOR, ESQ.  
 24 LUKE W. MOLLECK, ESQ.  
 25 Littler Mendelson, P.C.

John Neil Stephenson, Esq.  
 Stephenson Law, PLLC  
 1770 Verdi Vista Court  
 Reno, NV 89523

26 *Attorney for Defendant*  
 27 NV ENERGY, INC. d/b/a SIERRA  
 28 PACIFIC POWER COMPANY

*Attorney for Plaintiff*

**ORDER**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Parties' Stipulation and Order to stay action in the above-captioned matter is GRANTED.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Parties will, within 15 days of mediation, provide a status report to the Court setting forth the following:

- 1) Should the Parties reach a settlement, the Parties will update the Court as to the tentative resolution; or
- 2) Should the Parties be unsuccessful at resolving all claims, the Parties shall set forth an updated proposed discovery plan and scheduling order.

**IT IS SO ORDERED:**

DATED: February 28, 2025



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

4914-9880-0663.2 / 022720-1095